1

Note on Behavior of a Coupled Nonautonomous Ordinary Differential Equation

결합된 시변 상미분 방정식의 해의 성질에 관하여

Keum-Shik Hong, Myung-Chul Han, Suk Lee, and Joongsun Yoon

(홍 금 식, 한 명 철, 이 석, 윤 중 선)

요   약 : 결합된 시변 상미분 방정식의 해의 접근적 성질에 관한 연구이다. 리아프노프 직접 방법에 의하면 평등 안정성(uniform stability)만 얻어졌을 경우이지만, 추가적으로 상태 벡터 중 일부가 0으로 접근적 수렴함을 보이는데 있다. 얻어진 결과는 특히 불변원리(invariance principle)가 성립하지 않는 시변 시스템에 유용하다.

Keywords : nonautonomous system, Lyapunov stability, convergence, adaptive control

I. Introduction

It is well known that for a linear nonautonomous system such that $\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$, $x(0) = x_0$, where x $(\cdot) \in R^n$, $t \geq 0$, the eigenvalue analysis is not sufficient for determining the stability [1][8], i.e. the fact that the real parts of all the eigenvalues of $A(t)$ at every time instant are bounded above by $-\delta$, $\delta > 0$, does not imply the stability of the time-varying system. A pioneering example of Markus and Yamabe [10] (see also [8], p.184, Example 109) actually shows that the solution grows without bound as $t \to \infty$ even if the spectrums of $A(t)$ remain at fixed locations in the open left-half plane for all $t \geq 0$. Several recent results for the exponential stability of linear systems including infinite dimensional systems can be found in [2][3].

For a nonlinear system two approaches are usually taken in determining stability. First one is the Lyapunov's direct method which analyzes qualitatively the behavior of dynamic system by utilizing the Lyapunov function (resembling total energy in some sense). And second one is the Lyapunov's indirect method which enables one to draw conclusions about a nonlinear system by studying the behavior of a linear system obtained through linearization. Furthermore if the given system is nonautonomous, the assertion such as asymptotic stability would be more demanding since it requires that the derivative of Lyapunov function, $- \dot{V}(t)$, is (locally) positive definite. However for special cases like autonomous or periodic system the invariance principle (LaSalle's theorem) is known to hold [8, p.156], therefore it is possible to conclude asymptotic stability even in cases where $- \dot{V}(t)$ is not locally positive definite.

In this note the asymptotic convergence to zero of a part of the solutions of a coupled nonautonomous system isinvestigated. The coupled dynamic system is assumed to

permit a Lyapunov function and the time derivative of the Lyapunov function is negative semidefinite involving only part of the state. Therefore uniform stability can only be concluded from the Lyapunov's direct method [8, p.148, Theorem 9]. Note also that the invariance principle does not hold for general nonautonomous system. In this note however the asymptotic convergence to zero of the partial state of the coupled system will be shown with additional assumptions, which are not restrictive, which appear in the derivative of the Lyapunov function. The contribution of the note is to show additionally the asymptotic convergence to zero of first part of the state vector of coupled system (1)-(2) below, otherwise only uniform stability could have been concluded by the Lyapunov method. An illustrating example for the obtained results will be given.

Definition: $z(t)$ is said to be a solution of the differential equation

$$\dot{z} = f(t, z), \quad z(\tau) = \bar{z}$$

where $z : I = [\tau, \tau + a] \to R^n$, $f : D \equiv I \times B \to R^n$ where $B = \{z \in R^n : \| z - \bar{z} \| \leq b\}$, if $(t, z(t)) \in D$ for $t \in I$, and $z(t)$ satisfies the given differential equation for all $t \in I$.

II. Main Results

Theorem: Consider a coupled nonautonomous system as

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, y), \quad x(0) = x_0 \tag{1}$$

$$\dot{y} = g(t, x, y), \quad y(0) = y_0 \tag{2}$$

where $x \in R^n$, $y \in R^m$. The following assumptions are made.

(i) $f(t, 0, 0) = 0$. $g(t, 0, 0) = 0$. f and g are piecewise continuous in t, and continuous in x and y. Furthermore f and g are locally Lipschitz in x and y.

(ii) $\| f(t, x, y) \| \leq \alpha(y) \| x \| + c$, $\forall t \geq 0$

where c is a positive constant and $\alpha : R^m \to R^+$ is bounded for finite values of y.

(iii) There exists a functional $V : R^- \times R^{n+m} \to R^+$ such that

$$k_1 \| x \|^2 + k_2 \| y \|^2 \leq V(t, x, y) \leq k_3 \| x \|^2 + k_4 \| y \|^2 \tag{3}$$

where k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 are positive constants.

(iv) $\dot{V}(t,x,y)\Big|_{(1)-(2)} \leq -\beta(\|x\|)$ (4)

where $\beta(\cdot)$ is a continuous monotone increasing function with $\beta(0)=0$. Then $x(t)\to 0$ as $t\to\infty$.

Remark: Note that the state vector in the above theorem consists of two components (x, y). Condition (i) is basically for the local existance and uniqueness. Condition (iii) implies that $V(t)$ is a positive definite and decrescent function. The right hand side of equation (4) involves only a part of state vector x, hence the equation (4) does not imply that $-\dot{V}(t)$ is (locally) positive definite function. Therefore the asymptotic stability can not be concluded. Lastly condition (ii) is additionally assumed in which y is treated as a parameter in f.

Proof : Defining $z=[x^T, y^T]^T$ with $z(0)=[\ x^T(0), y^T(0)]^T$, (1)-(2) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{z}=F(t,z)\ ,\ z(0)=\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix}\ .$$

Since F is piecewise continuous in t and locally Lipschitz in z due to the condition (i), by the standard local existence theorem (see [1]) there exists a unique solution defined on an interval $J_T=[\ 0, T)$ for some $T>0$. Also the existence of a Lyapunov function V which is positive definite and decrescent and its derivative along (1)-(2) being ≤ 0 implies that a set $E_r=\{z\,V\leq r, r\in R^n\}$ is positive invarient, i.e. $\|z(t)\|\leq r'$, $\forall t\geq 0$, where r' is a constant not depending on T .

Let the unique solution of (1) at time t starting with initial state $x(s)$ at initial time s be of the form

$$x(t)=x(s)+\int_s^t f(\tau, x(\tau), y(\tau))d\tau \quad (5)$$

and denote the solution as $x(t)=x(t,x(s),s)$. Then we can define a two parameter family of map $S(t,s)$ on R^n as

$$S(t,s)x(s)=x(t,x(s),s),\ 0\leq s\leq t<\infty \quad (6)$$

Then, by the uniqueness and continuous dependence of the solutions $x(t)=x(t,x(s),s)$ on the triple $(t,x(s),s)$, the mapping $S(t,s)$ on R^n becomes an evolution process such that [9, p.12]

(i) $S(\cdot,s)x(s):R^- \to R^n$ is continuous (right
                        continuous at $t=s$)

(ii) $S(t,\cdot)(\cdot):R\times R^n\to R^n$ is continuous

(iii) $S(s,s)x(s)=x(s)$

(iv) $S(t,s)x(s)=S(t,r)S(r,s)x(s)$, for all $x(s)\in R^n$
and $0\leq s\leq r\leq t<\infty$.

We further note that the condition (4) in the theorem implies that

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(\|S(t,0)x_0\|)dt = \int_0^\infty \beta(\|x(t)\|)dt$$

$$\leq -\int_0^\infty \dot{V}(t,x,y)dt \quad (7)$$

$$= V(0)-V(\infty)<\infty$$

where $x(t)=S(t,0)x_0$.

Indeed, the conclusion of the theorem can be proven by contradiction. Suppose $S(t,0)x_0\not\to 0$ an $t\to\infty$, then there exists an $\varepsilon>0$ and an infinite sequence $t_j\to\infty$ such that

$$\|S(t_j,0)x_0\|\geq \varepsilon.$$

Now however small the ε is, there exist constants $M>0$ and $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that

$$M\geq \bar{a}=\sup_{y\in E_r}\ a\,(y(t)),$$

and

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{e}-\frac{c}{M}\geq \varepsilon_0>0. \quad (8)$$

Note that if $c=0$, then (8) is always satisfied. Therefore taking norms on both sides of (5)

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \|x(s)\| + \int_s^t (a(y(\tau))\|x(\tau)\|+c)d\tau$$

$$\leq \|x(s)\| + \int_s^t (sup_{y\in E_r} a(y(\tau))\|x(\tau)\|+c)d\tau$$

$$\leq \|x(s)\| + \int_s^t M(\|x(\tau)\|+\frac{c}{M})d\tau\ .$$

Applying the Bellman-Gronwall's inequality yields

$$\|x(t)\|\leq(\|x(s)\|+\frac{c}{M})e^{M(t-s)} \quad (9)$$

for all $t\geq s\geq 0$.

Now without loss of generality we can assume that $t_{j+1}-t_j>1/M$. If we set $\Delta_j=[\ t_j-\frac{1}{M}, t_j]$, then $m(\Delta_j)=\frac{1}{M}>0$ (m=Lebesgue measure) and the intervals Δ_j do not overlap. For $t\in\Delta_j$

$$\varepsilon \leq \|S(t_j,0)x_0\|$$

$$= \|S(t_j,t)S(t,0)x_0\|$$

$$= \|S(t_j,t)x(t)\|$$

$$\leq (\|x(t)\|+\frac{c}{M})e^{M(t_j-t)}$$

$$\leq (\|x(t)\|+\frac{c}{M})e$$

where the second inequality above is obtained from (9). Therefore we have

$$\|x(t)\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{M}-\frac{c}{M}$$
$$\geq \varepsilon_0$$

for all $t\in\Delta_j=[\ t_j-1/M,\ t_j]$. Hence

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(\|S(t,0)x_0\|)dt \geq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{\Delta_j}\beta(\|S(t,0)x_0\|)dt$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{\Delta_j}\beta(\varepsilon_0)dt$$

$$= \beta(\varepsilon_0)\sum_{j=1}^\infty m(\Delta_j)$$

$$= \infty$$

contradicting (7). Thus we must have $x(t)\to 0$ as $t\to\infty$.   ■

Example: Consider a model reference adaptive control of a scalar differential equation. This example is well documented in adaptive control literature, for example [5, p.99] or [7, p.99]. Let the plant and reference model be

$$\dot{x}_p(t)=a_p x_p(t)+k_p u(t)$$

$$\dot{x}_m(t) = a_m x_m(t) + k_m r(t)$$

where subscripts p and m denote plant and model, respectively, a_p and k_p are unknowm parameters of the plant, $u(t)$ is the control input, $a_m < 0$, $r(t)$ is a bounded reference input. The control objective is to design a bounded control input $u(t)$ which enables the plant output $x_p(t)$ to follow the signal $x_m(t)$ generated from the reference model. The whole closed loop adaptive system results in the following equations (see the references cited above) as

$$\dot{x}(t) = (a_m + k_p y_1(t))x(t) + k_p x_m(t)y_1(t) + k_p r(t)y_2(t) \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{y}_1(t) = -sgn(k_p)x(t)(x(t) + x_m(t)) \quad (10)$$

$$\dot{y}_2(t) = -sgn(k_p)x(t)r(t) \quad (11)$$

where $x(t) \equiv x_p(t) - x_m(t)$, $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$ are adjustable parameters in the controller, and $r(t)$ and $x_m(t)$ are treated to be bounded exogenous signals. Obtaining (10) and (11), a Lyapunov function $V: R^3 \rightarrow R^-$ as

$$V(x, y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + |k_p|(y_1^2 + y_2^2)) \quad (12)$$

has been considered. The differentiation of V with respect to t along the equations (9)-(11) yields

$$\dot{V}(x, y_1, y_2) = a_m x^2(t) \le 0 \quad . \quad (13)$$

Note that equations (9), (10)-(11), (12) and (13) correspond to the equations (1), (2), (3) and (4), respectively, in the theorem and satisfy all the assumptions. Therefore by applying the theorem $\lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0$ can be shown.

Remark: The convergence of $x(t)$ to 0 as $t \to \infty$ in adaptive control literature (see [5, p.99] or [7, p.99]) has been shown by applying Barbalat's Lemma [11, p.211].

III. Conclusions

In this note asymptotic convergence to zero of the partial state of a coupled nonautonomous nonlinear system with uniform stability has been shown. Considering that the invariance principle does not hold for general nonautonomous system and that it is sometimes difficult to obtain $-V(t)$ to be positive definite, the obtained results could be useful in analysis of nonautonomous system since it can assert at least partial convergence of the state.

References

[1] J.K. Hale, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1969.

[2] K.S. Hong and J. Bentsman, "Stability Criterion for Linear Oscillatory Parabolic Systems," *ASME J. of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control*, vol.114, no.1, pp.175-178, 1992.

[3] K.S. Hong, J.W. Wu, and K.I. Lee, "New Conditions for the Exponential Stability of Evolution Equations," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol.39, no.7, pp.1432-1436, 1994.

[4] K.S. Hong and J. Bentsman, "Direct Adaptive Control of Parabolic Systems: Algorithm Synthesis, and Convergence and Stability Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol.39, no.10, pp.2018-2033, 1994.

[5] K.S. Narendra and A.M. Annaswamy, *Stable Adaptive Systems*, Prentice-Hail, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1989.

[6] A. Pazy, *Semigroup of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[7] S.S. Sastry and M. Bodson, *Adaptive Control: Stability, Convergence and Robustness*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1989.

[8] M. Vidyasagar, *Nonlinear Systems Analysis*, Prentice- Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1978.

[9] J.A. Walker, *Dynamical Systems and Evolution Equations*, Plenum Press, New York, 1980.

[10] L. Markus and H. Yamable, "Global Stability Criteria for Differential Systems, "*Osaka Math. J.*, vol.12, pp.305-317, 1960.

[11] V.M. Popov, *Hyperstability of Control Systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.

홍 금 식
1957년 8월 25일생. 1975~79 서울대 기계설계학과 (공학사). 1985.8~87.1 Columbia University 기계공학과 (공학석사). 1990.6~91.1 University of Illinois (Urbana) 수학과 (이학석사). 1987.1~91.8 University of Illinois (Urbana) 기계공학과(공학박사). 1982 ~85 대우중공업(인천) 근무. 1993~현재 부산대학교 공과대학 조교수.

이 석
1961년 12월 11일생. 1984년 서울대학교 기계공학과 졸업. 1985년 12월 미국 펜실바니아 주립대학 석사학위 취득. 1990년 8월 동 대학원 박사학위 취득. 1990 ~ 93년 미국 신시내티 대학교 조교수. 현재 부산대학교 생산기계공학과 조교수.

한 명 철
1960년 4월 14일생. 1983년 서울대학교 기계설계학과 졸업. 1985년 동 대학원 기계설계학과 졸업(석사). 1992년 미국 Georgia Institute of Technology 기계공학과 졸업(박사). 1994 ~현재 부산대학교 제어기계공학과 전임강사.

윤 중 선
1958년 6월 29일생. 1981년 서울대학교 기계설계학과 졸업. 1983년 동 대학원 기계설계학과 졸업(석사). 1988년 미국 LEHIGH 대학 기계공학과 졸업 (박사). 1989 ~ 91년 삼성전자 로보트개발 연구원. 현재 부산대학교 정밀기계공학과 조교수.