New Convergence Analysis in Adaptive Control: Convergence Analysis Without the Barbalat's Lemma

Keum-Shik Hong*
(Received March 24, 1994)

KSME Journal of Separate Volume

New Convergence Analysis in Adaptive Control: Convergence Analysis Without the Barbalat's Lemma

Keum-Shik Hong*

(Received March 24, 1994)

Convergence of the state error e to zero in adaptive systems is shown using the existence and uniqueness of solution and the existence of a Lyapunov function in which the adaptation laws are constructed. Results in the paper are general in the sense that it is applicable to a broad class of adaptive systems of a linear/nonlinear, time-varying or distributed-parameter systems. Since the approach taken in the paper does not require the boundedness of the derivative of the state error e for all $t \ge 0$, it is particularly useful in the adaptive control of infinite dimensional systems.

Key Words: Adaptive Control, Convergence, Evolution System, Existence and Uniqueness of Solution, Semigroup Theory, Stability

1. Introduction

When a new control algorithm or a mathematical model for a physical system is proposed, it is natural to investigate whether the proposed algorithm or the mathematical model provides the existence and uniqueness of forward-time solution for all possible initial data, otherwise control action can not be continued forward in time forever or the mathematical modeling equation may not accurately describe the physical process. Once the existence and uniqueness of solution is assured then the stability of the proposed control algorithm or the mathematical model is investigated. However in the area of adaptive control the order is interestingly reversed: An adaptive control algorithm is first derived considering the stability and then the existence of solution for all $t \ge 0$ is assured. In this paper the asymptotic convergence of the state error to zero in adaptive system is shown using the existence and uniqueness of solution and a Lyapunov function. This reveals a fundamental fact in an adaptive control of a general system that if the adaptation law is derived in such a way that $\dot{V}(x, y, z) \leq -\alpha(\|x\|)$, where V is a Lyapunov function, x denotes the error dynamics between the plant and model, y and z represent other involved signals such as adaptation law and normalizing signal, and $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a monotone function, then the trajectory of the plant follows that of the model.

The main contribution of the paper is that for a coupled dynamic system as the Eqs. $(31) \sim (33)$ (Theorem 2), the component x is shown to converge to zero, and adaptive control system can be represented in this form. The component xdenotes the state error between the plant and reference model in adaptive control, and its convergence to zero using the existence and uniqueness of solution is for the first time shown. Although the approach taken in the paper provides a different convergence proof for the case of finite dimensional adaptive control, it is particularly useful in the adaptive control of distributed parameter systems since it does not require the boundedness of the state error derivative for all t ≥ 0 .

In the adaptive systems utilizing the Lyapunov direct method in constructing control law, the adaptation laws are derived in such a way that the time derivative of the Lyapunov function V is

^{*} Department of Control and Mechanical Engineering, The Institute of Mechanical Technology, Pusan National University, 30 Changjeon-dong, Kumjeong-ku, Pusan, 609-735, Korea.

negative semi-definite, which implies that the origin is (uniformly) stable (in the large). Therefore even if it is necessary to assure the existence and uniqueness of solution for all $t \ge 0$ before the application of the Lyapunov method, the existence and uniqueness question of the closed loop adaptive system comes naturally after the assurance of stability since the feedback adaptive control law is designed in the fashion that stability is guaranteed. However the obtained overall adaptive system does not admit the global Lipschitz condition which suffices the global uniqueness.

The analysis of adaptive systems consists of investigating (i) the stability of overall system, (ii) state error convergence to zero, and (iii) parameter error convergence to zero which is related to persistency of excitation of the input signal. In this paper only up to the second question, i.e. the state error convergence to zero, will be considered. The fundamental idea of the model reference adaptive control for the finite dimensional system is well documented in (Narendra and Annaswamy, 1989, p. 99; Sastry and Bodson, 1989, p. 99) using a scalar differential equation. Outlining briefly, the adaptive control law consists of some adjustable parameters which permit the closed loop equation to coincide exactly the reference model equation when the tuning parameters converge to their nominal values. The stability of the whole adaptive system is obtained by considering a Lyapunov function and making it to be negative semi-definite. The Lyapunov function involves the state error defined as the difference between the plant and the reference model, and the parameter errors defined as the differences between the current parameter values and their nominal values. Since the adaptation laws are derived in the way that all terms involving the controller parameters in the derivative of the Lyapunov function cancel out each other, the global uniform stability of the origin is at most obtained. Finally to assert $\lim e(t) = 0$, two facts are used in the literature (Narendra and Annaswamy, 1989, p. 85; Sastry and Bodson, 1989, p. 19; Slotine and Li, 1991, p. 123). One is $e(t) \in L_2(0, \infty)$ and the other is that $\dot{e}(t)$ is bounded for all $t \ge 0$, which allow the application of the Barbalat's Lemma. Fortunately in the finite dimensional adaptive system the second fact follows from the Lyapunov function and the nature of finite dimensionality. Also further analysis reveals that the persistency of excitation of the reference input makes the whole adaptive system to be exponentially stable.

Compared to the finite dimensional case the adaptive control of infinite dimensional systems is not well understood and has only recently been studied. Wen(1985) proposed adaptive control laws and analyzed the Lagrange stability of direct model reference adaptive control in infinite dimensional Hilbert space by using command generator tracker approach. Hong and Bentsman (1992b; 1993; 1994a, b) have investigated a direct adaptive control of parabolic systems and analyzed the stability using the averaging method. Demetriou and Rosen (1994) have investigated the persistence of excitation in the adaptive identification of parabolic and hyperbolic partial differential equations. One of the main difficulties in synthesizing control algorithms for a distributed parameter system is obtaining the stability of whole closed loop system (Hong and Bentsman, 1992a; Hong et al., 1992; Wu and Hong, 1994).

Now we start considering the following motivating example of infinite dimensional adaptive control of parabolic partial differential equation (Hong and Bentsman, 1994a, b) for the purpose of illustrating the form of infinite dimensional system considered in the paper and where the Barbalat's Lemma may not be so easily applicable. However in Section 3 the asymptotic convergence of the state error to zero without relying on the Barbalat's Lemma will be shown by applying Theorem 2. Parabolic partial differential equations arise in many physical, biological and engineering problems, for instance in the area of heat transfer, nuclear reactor dynamics, chemical reactions, crystal growth, population genetics, flow of electrons and holes in a semiconductor, nerve axon equations, hydrology, petroleum recovery area, and fluid mechanics. For more examples (Friedman, 1969; Henry, 1981) and references there are referred.

Example: Consider a class of distributed parameter systems described by a linear parabolic partial differential equation with spatially-varying coefficients as

$$\frac{\partial \xi(p,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(a(p) \frac{\partial \xi(p,t)}{\partial p} \right) + b(p) \xi(p,t) + u(p,t),$$

$$t > 0 \tag{1}$$

where t is the time, $p \in \Omega \subset R$ denotes the spatial variable, and u(p,t) is a control input function. a(p) and b(p) are unknown, but a(p) > 0 is assumed to be parabolic. Boundary and initial conditions are given as

$$\xi(p,t) = \beta(t), p \in \partial\Omega$$

 $\xi(p,0) = \xi_o(p).$

It is assumed that a(p), b(p) and the boundary data $\beta(t)$ are analytic in their appropriate domains. It is also assumed that $\beta(t)$ is a priori known, and distributed sensing and actuation are available. A reference model is defined as

$$\frac{\partial \xi_{m}(p,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(a_{m}(p) \frac{\partial \xi_{m}(p,t)}{\partial p} \right)
+ b_{m}(p) \xi_{m}(p,t)
+ r(p,t),t > 0$$

$$\xi_{m}(p,t) = \beta(t), p \in \partial \Omega
\xi_{m}(p,0) = \xi_{mo}(p)$$
(2)

where r(p,t) is a bounded reference input. It is assumed that $a_m(p) \ge a_o > 0$, $b_m(p) < 0$, $|b_m(p)| \ge b_o > 0$, and that $a_m(p)$, $b_m(p)$ are analytic in Ω . Now consider the following control law u(p,t) with adjustable parameters $\phi_a(p,t)$ and $\phi_b(p,t)$ such that

$$u(p,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\phi_a(p,t) \frac{\partial \xi(p,t)}{\partial p} \right) + \phi_b(p,t) \xi(p,t) + r(p,t).$$
 (3)

The closed loop plant equation becomes identical to the equation of the reference model when $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi_a(p,t) = \phi_a^*$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi_b(p,t) = \phi_b^*$, where $\phi_a^*(p)$ and $\phi_b^*(p)$ are nominal functions defined as $\phi_a^*(p) = a_m(p) - a(p)$ and $\phi_b^*(p) = b_m(p) - b(p)$. Define the state error e as $e(p,t) = \xi(p,t) - \xi_m(p,t)$, and the controller parameter errors ψ_a and ψ_b as $\psi_a(p,t) = \xi(p,t) - \xi_m(p,t)$.

 $t) = \phi_a(p,t) - \phi_a^*(p)$ and $\psi_b(p,t) = \phi_b(p,t) - \phi_b^*(p)$, respectively. Subtracting Eq. (2) from Eq. (1) yields the state error equation with homogeneous boundary conditions as

$$\frac{\partial e(p,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(a_m(p) \frac{\partial e(p,t)}{\partial p} \right)
+ b_m(p)e(p,t)
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(\psi_a(p,t) \frac{\partial \xi(p,t)}{\partial p} \right)
+ \psi_b(p,t)\xi(p,t)
e(p,t) = 0, \ p \in \partial \Omega
e(p,0) = \xi_o(p) - \xi_{mo}(p).$$
(4)

Consider the adaptation laws given by

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{a}(p,t)}{\partial t} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial e(p,t)}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \xi(p,t)}{\partial p},$$

$$\phi_{a}(p,0) = \phi_{ao} \qquad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{b}(p,t)}{\partial t} = -\varepsilon e(p,t)\xi(p,t), \ \phi_{b}(p,0)$$

$$= \phi_{bo} \qquad (6)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is the adaptation gain. Then by considering a functional $V:(L_2(\Omega))^3 \to R^+$ as

$$V(e, \psi_a, \psi_b) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(e^2(p, t) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\psi_a^2(p, t) + \psi_b^2(p, t)) \right) dp$$
(7)

and differentiating V with respect to t along the trajectories of Eqs. (4) \sim (6) employing integration by parts and boundary conditions yields

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \int_{\Omega} \left(-a_m(p) \left(\frac{\partial e(p,t)}{\partial p} \right)^2 + b_m(p) e^2(p,t) \right)
+ \psi_b^2(p,t) dp
\leq -b_o \int_{\Omega} e^2(p,t) dp
\leq 0.$$
(8)

Therefore the global uniform stability of the origin (i.e. $(e, \psi_a, \psi_b) = (0,0,0)$) in $L_2(\Omega)^3$ is concluded. Furthermore Eq. (8) implies that $e(p,t) \in L_2(\Omega x[0,\infty))$. However the assertion that $\lim_{t\to\infty} ||e|$ $(p,t)||_{L_2}=0$ is not obvious through the similar analysis as the case of finite dimensional system which requires the boundedness of e(p,t) in Eq. (4).

This paper develops a new approach in assert-

ing the convergence of the state error to zero which does not rely on the Barblat's Lemma. This approach is applicable to any adaptive systems which is constructed in the way that (i) the existence and uniqueness of solutions is assured, (ii) there exists a Lyapunov function which determines the stability of the overall adaptive system, and finally (iii) $\alpha(\|e\|_X) \in L_1(0,\infty)$, where e is the state error of an adaptive system, $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a monotone function with $\alpha(0)=0$, and $\|\cdot\|_X$ denotes a norm in a Banach space X. This approach is particularly crucial in the adaptive control of infinite dimensional system since it does not require the boundedness of the derivative of the state error for all $t \ge 0$.

2. Finite Dimensional Adaptive System

The adaptive control of finite dimensional systems is now well developed. In this section we re-visit the finite dimensional case and show that the asymptotic convergence of the state error is well guaranteed if the adaptation laws are designed using the Lyapunov redesign method. Let a general finite dimensional adaptive system of a linear/nonlinear, time-varying plant with bounded external disturbances be given in the following form as in the work of Polycarpou and Ioannou(1993).

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, y), \ x(0) = x_o \tag{9}$$

$$\dot{y} = g(t, x, y, \eta), \ y(0) = y_0$$
 (10)

$$\dot{\eta} = -\delta_o \eta + h(t, x, y), \ \eta(0) = \eta_o \tag{11}$$

where $x \in R^n$, $y \in R^m$, $\eta \in R^1$ and $\delta_o > 0$ is a constant. f, g and h are in general nonlinear time-varying functions. The state x represents the error dynamics between the closed loop plant with filters and the model. The state y denotes the estimated parameter vector which is referred to as the adaptation law. η is a design variable known as the normalizing signal. The explicit dependence of the functions f, g and h on t could be due to time variation in the plant parameters and/or exogenous signals such as plant disturbances and reference input.

Assumptions

(A1) f(t,0,0)=0. $g(t,0,0,\eta)=0$. f, g and h are piecewise continuous in t, and are continuous in other variables. Furthermore f and h are locally Lipschitz in x and y. g is locally Lipschitz in x, y and η .

(A2) (a)
$$||f(t,x,y)|| \le a_0(y)||x|| + c_0, \forall t \ge 0$$
 (12)
(b) $|h(t,x,y)| \le a_1(y)||x||^2 + a_2(y)||x|| + c_1, \forall t \ge 0$ (13)

where c_0 , c_1 are constants and $a_0, a_1, a_2 : R^m \to R^+$ are bounded for finite values of v.

(A3) there exists a function $V: \mathbb{R}^{k+m} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$k_1 \|Cx\|^2 + k_2 \|y\|^2 \le V(Cx, y)$$

$$\le k_3 \|Cx\|^2 + k_4 \|y\|^2$$
(14)

where k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 are positive constants, and $C \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ is a constant matrix.

The overall adaptive system does not admit the global Lipschitz condition in general. However if there exists a Lyapunov function for the whole adaptive system, the existence and uniqueness for all $t \ge 0$ can be asserted from the Lyapunov function together with the local existence and uniqueness resulting from the condition (A1)(Narendra and Annaswamy, 1989, p. 117, Comment 3.2). Furthermore if the considered Lyapunov function involves only part of the state of the whole adaptive system like (2.6), the global existence and uniqueness can still be obtained with the conditions like (A2). The above is summarized in the following Lemma(Polycarpou and Ioannou, 1993), and the proof is taken for the completeness of the paper and for later use. It should be remarked that the first work on the existence of solution should may have to be attributed to (Narendra et al., 1980).

Lemma (Polycarpou and Ioannou, 1993): Consider an adaptive system Eqs. $(9) \sim (11)$ with the assumptions above. Assume that

$$\dot{V}(Cx,y)\Big|_{(9)-(10)} \le 0.$$
 (15)

Then there exists a unique solution to Eqs. (9) \sim (11) defined for all $t\in[0,\infty)$.

Proof: Defining $z = [x^T, y^T, \eta]^T$ with $z(0) = [x^T]$

 $(0), y^{T}(0), \eta(0)]^{T}, (9)$ -(11) can be rewritten as

$$\dot{z} = F(t,z) = \begin{bmatrix} f(t,x,y) \\ g(t,x,y,\eta) \\ -\delta_o \eta + h(t,x,y) \end{bmatrix},
z(0) = \begin{bmatrix} x_o \\ y_o \\ \eta_o \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since F is locally Lipschitz in z, by the standard local existence theorem (see Hale, 1969) there exists a unique solution defined on an interval $J_T = [0, T)$ for some T > 0. Also the existence of a Lyapunov function V satisfying Eq. (15) implies that a set $E_\beta = \{(Cx,y): V(Cx,y) \le \beta, \beta \in R^+\}$ is positive invariant. (The possibility of existence of finite escape times for the signals Cx and y is removed by the function V with Eq. (15)): Hence $y(t) \le \beta, \forall t \ge 0$, where β is a constant not depending on T. Now in the rest of proof it will be shown that neither any component of the state x timite escape times for the signals Cx and y is removed by the function V with Eq. (15)): Hence $y(t) < \beta, \forall t > 0$, where β is a constant not dependent of the state y is removed by the function y with Eq. (15)): Hence

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t f(\tau, x(\tau), y(\tau)) d\tau,$$

$$\eta(t) = e^{-\delta_0 t} \eta(0) + \int_0^t e^{-\delta_0 (t-\tau)} h(\tau, x(\tau),$$

$$y(\tau) d\tau,$$
(16)

respectively. Taking norms on both sides of Eq. (16) using the condition (A2-a)

$$||x(t)|| \le ||x(0)|| + \int_0^t (\alpha_0(y)||x(\tau)|| + c_0) d\tau$$

$$\le ||x(0)|| + \overline{\alpha}_0 \int_0^t (||x(\tau)|| + \frac{c_0}{\overline{\alpha}_0}) d\tau$$

where $\overline{\alpha}_0 = \sup_{\|y(t)\| < \beta} \alpha_0(y(t))$. Applying the Bellman-Gronwall's inequality yields

$$||x(t)|| \le \left(||x(0)|| + \frac{C_0}{\overline{\alpha}_0}\right) e^{\overline{\alpha}_{ot}}$$
 (18)

for all $t \in J_T$. Similarly using Eq. (18) and the assumption (A2-b) in Eq. (17) obtains

$$|\eta(t)| \le c_1 + c_2 e^{\bar{a}t}, \forall t \in J_T$$

for some constant $C_1, C_2, \overline{\alpha} \ge 0$. Therefore the solutions can be continued past t = T and since the solutions cannot grow faster than an exponential function, they can not have finite escape times and thus the solutions exist and are unique for all $t \in$

 $[0,\infty)$. Q.E.D.

Remark 1: In a special case that C=I, the condition (A1) and the Lyapunov function Eq. (14) satisfying Eq. (15) are sufficient for the global existence and uniqueness of x(t) and y(t). Taking C=I will not lose any generality in the subsequent analysis since those components of the vector x corresponding to the filters can be specifically included in the Lyapunov function. In this case both vectors x(t) and y(t) are both bounded by some constant β for all $t \ge 0$. Observing the boundedness of x(t) and y(t), the boundedness of the state error derivative can be obtained relying on some conditions like Eq. (12) or directly Eq. (9) in finite dimensional case.

Theorem 1. Consider an adaptive system Eqs. $(9) \sim (11)$ with the assumptions above. Assume that

$$|\dot{V}(x,y)|_{(9)-(11)} \le -\alpha(||x||).$$
 (19)

Theorem 1. Consider an adaptive system Eqs. $(9) \sim (11)$ with the assumptions above.

Proof: Let the unique solution of Eq. (9) at time t starting with initial state x(s) at initial time s be of the form

$$x(t) = x(s) + \int_{s}^{t} f(\tau, x(\tau), y(\tau)) d\tau$$
 (20)

and denote it as x(t)=x(t,x(s),s). Define a two parameter family of map S(t,s) on \mathbb{R}^n as

$$S(t,s)x(s) = x(t,x(s), s),$$

$$0 \le s \le t < \infty.$$
(21)

Then by the uniqueness and continuous dependence of the solutions x(t)=x(t,x(s),s) on the triple (t, x(s), s), the mapping S(t,s) on R^n becomes an evolution process such that (Walker, 1980, p. 12)

- (i) $S(\cdot,s)x(s):R^+ \to R^n$ is continuous (right continuous at t=s)
- (ii) $S(t, \cdot)(\cdot): R \times R^n \to R^n$ is continuous
- (iii) S(s,s)x(s)=x(s)
- (iv) S(t,s)x(s) = S(t,r)S(r,s)x(s), for all $x(s) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \le s \le r \le t < \infty$.

Further also note that the condition Eq. (19) implies that

$$\int_0^\infty \alpha(\|S(t,0)x_o\|)dt < \infty. \tag{22}$$

Indeed, the conclusion of the theorem can be proven by contradiction. Suppose $S(t,0)x_o \neq 0$ as $t \to \infty$, then there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ and an infinite sequence $t_i \to \infty$ such that

$$||S(t_i,0)x_o|| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Now however small the ε is, there exist constants M > 0 and $\varepsilon_o > 0$ such that

$$M \ge \overline{\alpha}_0 = \sup_{||y(t)|| < \beta \mathcal{Q}_0} (y(t)), \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{e} - \frac{c_o}{M} \ge \varepsilon_o > 0.$$
(23)

Note that if $c_o=0$, Eq. (23) is always satisfied. Therefore taking norms on both sides of Eq. (20)

$$||x(t)|| \le ||x(s)|| + \int_{s}^{t} M(||x(\tau)|| + \frac{C_{o}}{M}) t\tau.$$

Applying the Bellman-Gronwall's inequality yields

$$||x(t)|| \le \left(||x(s)|| + \frac{C_o}{M}\right) e^{M(t-s)}$$
 (24)

for all $t \ge s \ge 0$.

Now without loss of generality we can assume that $t_{j+1}-t_j>M^{-1}$. If we set $\Delta_j=[t_j-M^{-1},t_j]$, then $m(\Delta_j)=M^{-1}>0$ (m=Lebesgue measure) and the intervals Δ_j do not overlap. For $t\in\Delta_j$

$$\varepsilon \leq ||S(t_{j},0)x_{o}||$$

$$= ||S(t_{j},t)S(t,0)x_{o}||$$

$$= ||S(t_{j},t)x(t)||$$

$$\leq \left(x(t) + \frac{C_{o}}{M}\right)e^{M(t_{j}-t)}$$

$$\leq \left(x(t) + \frac{C_{o}}{M}\right)e$$

where the second inequality above is obtained from Eq. (24). Therefore we have

$$||x(t)|| \ge \varepsilon_o$$
for all $t \in \Delta_j = [t_j - M^{-1}, t_j]$. Hence
$$\int_0^\infty \alpha(||S(t, 0)x_o||) dt \ge \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{A_j} \alpha(||S(t, 0)x_o||) dt$$

$$\ge \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{A_j} \alpha(\varepsilon_o) dt$$

$$= \alpha(\varepsilon_o) \sum_{j=1}^\infty m(\Delta_j)$$

contradicting Eq. (22). Thus we must have x(t)

$$\rightarrow 0$$
 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Q.E.D.

Remark 2: The above theorem suggests the following general design procedure. Designing a model following adaptive system consisting of a plant, a model, filters, tuners and some normalizing signals, i) derive an adaptive control law which permits exact equation matching between the plant and the model when the adjustable parameters in the controller converge to some values, ii) assure the existence and uniqueness of solutions, iii) there exists a Lyapunov function for the whole adaptive system and the derivative of the Lyapunov function is of the form

$$\dot{V} \leq -\alpha(\|x\|)$$

where x is the state error between plant and model, and α is monotone. Then $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$.

Remark 3: Note that Eq. (22) must hold for all initial conditions $x_o \in B_\beta = \{x: ||x|| \le \beta\}$ due to the positive invariance of B_β . Therefore Eq. (22) excludes the typical situation that f in Eq. (9) is a function of only t and y. Indeed if f were of the form (this will never happen in an adaptive control since x denotes the plant with filters)

$$\dot{x} = f(t, y), \ x(0) = x_o$$
 (25)

then the solution would be of the form

$$x(t) = x_o + \int_0^t f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau.$$
 (26)

Therefore Eq. (22) is never achieved for an arbitrary $x_o \neq 0$ because Eq. (22) can be satisfied for only one particular non-zero x_o by offsetting the second term in Eq. (26) but not for all initial conditions.

Remark 4: The above theorem also concludes the following. In general $x(t) \in L_p(0,\infty)$ does not imply $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$. The uniform continuity of x(t) is needed as is required in the Barbalat's Lemma. However besides the fact that $x(t) \in L_p$, if the signal comes through a dynamical system as $\dot{x} = f(t,x,y)$, where a unique solution exist for all $t \ge 0$ and y is a bounded parameter, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) dt$

0. Let us consider a pathological signal x(t)

which belongs to L_p but does not tend to zero (this signal will violate the uniform continuity condition). And let the derivative of $\chi(t)$ be $\zeta(t)$. Then $\chi(t)$ can be considered as a signal generated through a dynamical system of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = \zeta(t), \ x(0) = 0$$

which is exactly the form of Eq. (25) and the only 0 initial condition will provide $x(t) \in L_p$.

The above observation is summarized in the following corollary.

Corollary: Let $x(t) \in L_p(0,\infty)$, $p \ge 1$, and be a unique solution of $\dot{x} = f(t,x,y)$, $x \in R^n$, $y \in R^m$ where y is a bounded parameter. Let f satisfy $||f(t,x,y)|| \le \alpha(y)||x|| + c_o$, where c_o is a constant and $\alpha(\cdot)$ is bounded for a finite value of y. Then $\lim x(t) = 0$.

3. Infinite Dimensional Adaptive System

The overall adaptive system of the Example in Section 1 can be represented as

$$\dot{e} = ((a_m + \psi_a)e')' + (b_m + \psi_b)e
+ (\psi_a \xi'_m)' + \psi_b \xi_m;
e(p,t) = 0, p \in \partial \Omega; e(p,0) = e_o$$
(27)

$$\dot{\psi}_a = \varepsilon e'(e' + \xi_{m'}), \ \psi_a(p,0) = \psi_{ao}$$
 (28)

$$\dot{\psi}_b = -\varepsilon e(e + \xi_m), \ \psi_b(p,0) = \psi_{bo}$$
 (29)

where \cdot and ' denote the derivatives with respect to t and p, respectively, and $\xi_m(p,t)$ is an exogenous signal. Substituting Eqs. (28) and (29) into Eq. (27), Eq. (27) has the form

$$\dot{e} = B(t,e)e + g(t,e) \tag{30}$$

where

$$B(t,e) \cdot = \left(\left(a_m + \psi_{ao} + \varepsilon \int_o^t \left((e')^2 + e' \xi_{m'} \right) dt \right) (\cdot)' \right)' + \left(b_m + \psi_{bo} - \varepsilon \int_o^t (e^2 + e \xi_m) dt \right) \cdot g(t,e) = \left(\left(\psi_{ao} + \varepsilon \int_o^t \left((e')^2 + e' \xi_{m'} \right) dt \right) \xi_{m'} \right)' + \left(\psi_{bo} - \varepsilon \int_o^t (e^2 + e \xi_m) dt \right) \xi_m$$

Since $\xi_m(p,t)$ is smooth, there exists a $t_o > 0$ such that the principal term of Eq. (30) is strongly elliptic for all $t \in [0, t_o]$, i.e.

$$<-B(t,e)e,e>\geq c< e,e>,$$

 $\forall t\in [0,t_0], c>0.$

Therefore Eq. (30) is parabolic (Friedman, 1969, p. 134), and there exists a unique solution for $t \in [0, t_o]$. Typical values of those α , σ , ρ on page 170 of (Friedman, 1969) for Eq. (30) can be chosen as $\alpha = 1/2$, and $\sigma = \rho = 1$. Finally the Lyapunov function defined as in Eq. (24) ensures that all solutions belong to a closed bounded set, and hence their existence for all $t \ge 0$ is guaranteed as well.

Theorem 2: Consider an evolution equation as

$$\dot{x}(t) + A(y(t))x(t) = f(t,x,y),$$

 $x(0) = x_0$ (31)

$$\dot{y}(t) = g(t, x, \eta), \ y(0) = y_o$$
 (32)

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = -\delta_o \eta(t) + h(t, x, y), \quad \eta(0) = \eta_o \quad (33)$$

where $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and $z \in Z$. X, Y and Z are Banach spaces. $\delta_0 > 0$ is a constant. Let the state x denote the error dynamics between the plant and model, the state y represent the parameter vector to be tuned, and η refer some normalizing signal. Assume that

(i) there exist unique solutions to Eqs. (31) \sim (33), and the unique solution of Eq. (31) has the form

$$x(t) = \Phi(t,0)x_o + \int_0^t \Phi(t,\tau)f(t,x(\tau), y(\tau))d\tau$$
(34)

where $\Phi(t,s)$ is an evolution system corresponding to -A(y(t)).

(ii) (a)
$$||f(t,x,y)|| \le \alpha_0(y)||x|| + c_0, \forall t \ge 0$$

(b) $|h(t,x,y)| \le \alpha_1(y)||x||^2 + \alpha_2(y)||x|| + c_1, \forall t \ge 0,$

where c_0 , c_1 are constants and a_0 , a_1 , a_2 : $Y \rightarrow R^+$ are bounded for finite values of y.

(iii) there exists a functional $V: R \times X \times Y \rightarrow R^+$ such that

$$|k_1||x||^2 + k_2||y||^2 \le V(t,x,y)$$

 $\le k_3||x||^2 + k_4||y||^2$

where k_1 , k_2 , k_3 , k_4 are positive constants.

(iv) there exists a continuous non-decreasing function $\alpha(\cdot)$ with $\alpha(0)=0$ such that

$$\dot{V}(t,x,y)|_{(31)-(32)} \le -\alpha(||x||).$$

Then $||x(t)|| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Proof: Using contradiction, a similar strategy as in Theorem 1 is applied. Suppose that $\|x(t)\| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, then there exist an $\varepsilon > 0$ and an infinite sequence $t_i \to \infty$ such that

$$||x(t_i)|| = ||S(t_i,0)x_o|| \ge \varepsilon$$

where $S(t,0)x_o$ is the unique solution of Eq. (31) starting at initial condition x_o at time 0. Taking norms on the Eq. (34) with the initial state x(s) and time s using the condition on f yields

$$||x(t)|| \le M_1 ||x(s)|| + \int_s^t M_1(M_2 ||x(\tau)|| + c_o) d\tau$$

$$\le M_1 ||x(s)|| + M_1 M_2 \int_s^t \left(||x(\tau)|| + \frac{c_o}{M_2} \right) d\tau$$

where $M_1 = \sup_{s,t \in [0,\infty)} ||\Phi(t,s)||$, and M_2 is chosen to be sufficiently large so that $(\varepsilon/e - c_o/M_2) \ge \varepsilon_o > 0$. Applying the Bellman-Gronwall's inequality

$$||x(t)|| \le \left(M_1||x(s)|| + \frac{C_o}{M_2}\right)e^{M_1M_2(t-s)}$$

for all $t \ge s \ge 0$. Now we take the sequence t_j such that $t_{j+1} - t_j > (M_1 M_2)^{-1}$. Then the intervals Δ_j defined as $\Delta_j = [t_j - (M_1 M_2)^{-1}, t_j]$ do not overlap and $m(\Delta_j) > 0$. For any $t \in \Delta_j$

$$\varepsilon \leq \|S(t_{j},0)x_{o}\| = \|S(t_{j},t)S(t,0)x_{o}\|$$

$$= \|S(t_{j},t)x(t)\|$$

$$\leq \left(M_{1}x(t) + \frac{C_{o}}{M_{2}}\right)e^{M_{1}M_{2}(t_{j}-t)}$$

$$\leq \left(M_{1}x(t) + \frac{C_{o}}{M_{2}}\right)e$$

Therefore we have

$$||x(t)|| \ge \varepsilon_o$$

for all $t \in \Delta_j$, which leads to contradiction to the condition (iv) in the theorem. Therefore we must have $||x(t)|| \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

4. Conclusions

Asymptotic convergence of the state error to zero for a general adaptive control system which includes both finite and infinite dimensional

adaptive systems is investigated. The method developed in the paper is general and therefore is applicable to any adaptive system in assuring convergence of the state error to zero if the adaptive system is constructed in such a way that (i) the existence and uniqueness of solutions is assured, (ii) there exists a Lyapunov function which determines the stability of the overall adaptive system, and finally (iii) $\alpha(\|e\|_x) \in L_1(0,$ ∞), where e is the state error of an adaptive system, $\alpha(\cdot)$ is a monotone function with $\alpha(0)$ = 0, and $\|\cdot\|_X$ denotes a norm in a Banach space X. This approach is particularly crucial in the adaptive control of infinite dimensional system since it does not require the boundedness of the state error for all $t \ge 0$.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation under KOSEF Grant 941-1000-012-2.

Reference

Bentsman, J., Solo, V. and Hong, K. S., 1992, "Adaptive Control of a Parabolic System with Time-Varying Parameters: An Averaging Analysis," *Proc. 31st IEEE Conf. on Decision and Control*, Tucson, AZ, pp. 710~711.

Demetriou, M. A. and Rosen, I. G., 1994, "On the Persistence of Excitation in the Adaptive Estimation of Distributed Parameter Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Vol. 39, No. 5, pp. 1117~1134.

Friedman, A., 1969, Partial Differential Equations, New York: Holt, Reinhart, and Winston.

Hale, J. K., 1969, Ordinary Differential Equations, New York: Wiley.

Henry, D., 1981, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 840, Springer-Verlag.

Hong, K. S. and Bentsman, J., 1992a, "Stability Criterion for Linear Oscillatory Parabolic Systems," ASME J. of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control, Vol. 114, No. 1, pp. 175~178.

Hong, K. S. and Bentsman, J., 1992b, "Non-

linear Control of Diffusion Process with Uncertain Parameters Using MRAC Approach," *Proc.* 1992 American Control Conference, Chicago, IL, pp. 1343~1347.

Hong, K.S., Wu, J. W. and Lee, K. I., 1994, "New Conditions for the Exponential Stability of Evolution Equations," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control.*, Vol. 39, No. 7, pp. 1432 ~1436.

Hong, K. S. and Lee, M. H., 1993, "Stability and Tunability of an Adaptive Controller for One-Dimensional Parabolic PDE with Spatially-Varying Coefficients," *KSME Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 320~329.

Hong, K. S. and Bentsman, J., 1993, "Averaging for a Hybrid System Arising in the Direct Adaptive Control of Parabolic Systems and its Applications to Stability Analysis," *Prep. of 12th World Congress of IFAC*, Sydney, Australia, pp. 177~180.

Hong, K. S. and Bentsman, J., 1994a, "Application of Averaging Method for Integro-Differential Equations to Model Reference Adaptive Control of Parabolic Systems," *Automatica.*, Vol. 30, No. 9, pp. 1415~1419.

Hong, K. S. and Bentsman, J., 1994b, "Direct Adaptive Control of Parabolic Systems: Algorithm Synthesis and Convergence and Stability Analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Vol. 39, No. 10, pp. 2018~2033.

Narendra, K. S. and Annaswamy, A. M., 1989,

Stable Adaptive Systems, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Narendra, K. S., Lin, Y. H. and Valavani, L. S., 1980, "Stable Adaptive Controller Design, Part [: Proof of Stability," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Vol. AC-25, No. 3, pp. 440~448.

Pazy, A., 1983, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, New York: Springer-Verlag.

Polycarpou, M. M. and Ioannou, P. A., 1993, "On the Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions in Adaptive Control Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Vol., 38, No. 3, pp. 474 ~479.

Sastry, S. S. and Bodson, M., 1989, Adaptive Control: Stability, Convergence and Robustness, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Slotine, J. J. E. and Li, W., 1991, *Applied Nonlinear Control*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Walker, J. A., 1980, Dynamical Systems and Evolution Equations, New York: Plenum Press.

Wen, J., 1985, *Direct Adaptive Control in Hilbert Space*, Ph. D. thesis, Electrical, Computer and Systems Engineering Department, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy New York.

Wu, J. W. and Hong, K. S., 1994, "Delay-Independent Stability Criteria for Time-Varying Discrete Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 811~814.